

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,108		06/28/2001	Dwip N. Banerjee	AUS920010309US1	6271
45440	7590	01/27/2006		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP		3 2	DENNISON, JERRY B		
C/O STREE'		EELE `FREEWAY, SUITE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77040				2143	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2005.</u> 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-48</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  accepted or b  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Figher No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
J. Bret Dennison   2.143		09/894,108	BANERJEE ET AL.						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of one maybe evaluate under the provision of 37 CFR 11/80, in no event, however, may a roply to timely filled of the provision of 37 CFR 11/80, in no event, however, may a roply to timely filled of the communication of 37 CFR 11/80, in no event, however, may a roply to timely filled and a communication.  Failur for reply within the set or restinded period for reply will, by stations, capital the application (25 to 2.6, 13/3). Any party received by the Cliffic later than the maintenant statutor provided will apply and will expire 38 (5) (MONTHS from the mainting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any extent of patient or application.  Failur for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stations, capital the application, even if timely filled, may reduce any extended period for reply will, by stations, capital and provided any extended period for reply.  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on <u>04 October 2005</u> .  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) □ Island 94 island replaced.  5) □ Claim(s) □ Island 94 island replaced.  7) □ Claim(s) □ Island 84 island replaced.  7) □ Claim(s) □ Island 84 island replaced.  7) □ Claim(s) □ Island 84 isl	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ■ Edinations of these may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1-35(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  ■ Edinations of these may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1-35(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  ■ If NO period for eply is specified above, the maximum shallous provided way good, and will expire 15 (K) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any pay's reviewed by this Ciffic set them the two maintains date of this communication, even if filmely filled, may reduce any available part of the communication and the maintains of the communication. The provision of the set of this communication is a condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-48 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5□ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-48 is/are rejected.  7□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10 □ All b) □ Some *C □ None of:  1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ .  1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ .  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have b		J. Bret Dennison	2143						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of use many be evaluate under the procisions of 30 FR1 1-13(b). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely filled in the processor of 30 FR1 1-13(b). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely filled in the second and the processor of 30 FR1 1-13(b). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely filled in the main and statistory principle will apply and will expire 30 K (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Peallure for provident by the Clifice liter than these months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any eventre planetism analysisment. See 37 CFR 1.79(4).  Status  1) Responsive to communication (5) filled on 04 October 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is final.  2b) This action is final.  2b) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-48 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim		pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edemions of time may be available under the provision of 30° FR1 118(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field other SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failist or regively within this set or estanded period for regivell. By statiots, each the supplication to the mailing date of this communication.  Failist or regively within this set or estanded period for regivell. By statiots, each the supplication to Econem ABANDONED(C) 60 tt 5.0 €, 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three models after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search glater to term supplication.  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-1 and 9-48 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) as subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) to deceded to. 8e 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) All b)	• •								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2005.</u> 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>is/are withdrawn from consideration.</u> 5) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-48</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-48</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>is/are: all</u> accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Figher No(s)/Mail Date:</u>	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)	Status								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2005.							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)									
Al)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Autice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-48</u> is/are rejected.								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	d.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
Faper No(s)/Mail Date									

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- This Action is in response to Application Number 09/894,108 received on 04
   October 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-7, and 8-48 are presented for examination.
- 3. The prosecution for this Case has been transferred to another Examiner.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments include the failure of previously applied art to disclose, "that *after* expiration of the subscription period, access to the subscription is *not* terminated but just provided at a level of service that is lower than the pre-expiration level of service" [see Applicant's Response, page 12, paragraph 4].

As shown in the present rejection Drosset disclosed a method and system for a subscriber based service over a communication network in which users are set up with a payment schedule by credit card (Drosset, col. 15, lines 19-20), where the website submits a request to debit the account by the schedule chosen (Drosset, col. 15, lines 27-29) and the account may be downgraded to nonpaying membership through invalidation of the payment information i.e. expired or invalid credit card (Drosset, col. 15, lines 47-51) and the user is denied the privileges afforded to paying users (Drosset, col. 15, lines 51-52).

In other words, the teachings of Drosset allow a set schedule for payment by credit card, and if such instances occur at the time payment is due (i.e. expired or

Application/Control Number: 09/894,108

Art Unit: 2143

invalid credit card) the payment date has expired and the membership is downgraded to a non-paying membership. The account is not terminated, but rather just downgraded until the customer has made payment.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-5, 13-15, 36, 37, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "gradually" in claims 3-5, 13-15, 36, 37, and 44 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "gradually" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Examiner was unable to locate a definition for the term "gradually" in the instant specification. The term as it appears in claim 3, is further limited in claim 4, meaning "incrementally". Examiner will use this definition for all claims that recite the term.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2143

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 33, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drosset et al. (U.S. 6,662,231).

4. Drosset disclosed a method and system for a subscriber based service over a communication network in which users are set up with a payment schedule by credit card (Drosset, col. 15, lines 19-20), where the website submits a request to debit the account by the schedule chosen (Drosset, col. 15, lines 27-29) and the account may be downgraded to nonpaying membership through invalidation of the payment information i.e. expired or invalid credit card (Drosset, col. 15, lines 47-51) and the user is denied the privileges afforded to paying users (Drosset, col. 15, lines 51-52).

Therefore, regarding claims 1, 33, and 48, Drosset disclosed a method to be executed by one or more processors for managing subscriber access to online subscription content comprising:

(a) providing the subscriber with access to the online subscription service at a first level of service during a subscription period (Drosset, col. 15, line 14, Drosset disclosed a user provided with a paying membership).

(b) after expiration of the subscription period, providing the subscriber with access to the online subscription service at a level of service that is lower than the first level of service without terminating the access (Drosset, col. 15, lines 21-23, 45-65, Drosset disclosed that if payment is not received on the **scheduled payment date** then the users membership is downgraded to a non-paying membership).

Claims 33 and 48 include a computer program product with limitations that are substantially similar to those of claim 1.

Therefore claims 29, 33, and 48 are rejected under the same rationale as claim 1 as being substantially similar.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Drosset disclosed the limitations substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the level of service is determined by a service parameter selected from download rate, portion of subscription content accessible, access to member-only features, color formatting, sound and combinations thereof (Drosset, col. 15, lines 47-65).
- 6. Regarding claims 3-5, Drosset disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 2, including gradually reducing the level of service during the post-expiration period (Drosset, col. 15, lines45-55, Drosset disclosed incrementally reducing the level of service once), and notifying the user of any problems existing with their account (Drosset, col. 15, lines 29-58).

Application/Control Number: 09/894,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

7. Regarding claim 6, Drosset disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including allowing customers to renew their subscription at the

paying membership level (Drosset, col. 15, lines 40-67).

8. Regarding claim 7, Drosset disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as

described in claim 6. Claim 7 is a reiteration of steps performed in claims 1 and 6, and

is therefore rejected under the same rationale.

9. Regarding claim 9, Drosset disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as

described in claim 1, including notifying the customer of problems that need to be fixed

for subscription renewal (Drosset, col. 15, lines 29-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Drosset.

Art Unit: 2143

10. Regarding claim 10, Drosset disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1. Drosset did not explicitly state terminating subscriber access to the online subscription service after a specified post expiration period. Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP § 2144.03) that terminating a subscription service after an expiration date in a subscription providing system was well known in the art at the time the invention was made. The Applicant is entitled to traverse any/all official notice taken in this action according to MPEP § 2144.03, namely, "if applicant traverses such an assertion, the examiner should cite a reference in support of his or her position". However, MPEP § 2144.03 further states "See also In re Boon, 439 F.2d 724, 169 USPQ 231 (CCPA 1971) (a challenge to the taking of judicial notice must contain adequate information or argument to create on its face a reasonable doubt regarding the circumstances justifying the judicial notice)." Specifically, In re Boon, 169 USPQ 231, 234 states "as we held in Ahlert, an applicant must be given the opportunity to challenge either the correctness of the fact asserted or the notoriety or repute of the reference cited in support of the assertion. We did not mean to imply by this statement that a bald challenge, with nothing more, would be all that was needed". Further note that 37 CFR § 1.671(c)(3) states "Judicial notice means official notice". Thus, a traversal by the Applicant that is merely "a bald challenge, with nothing more" will be given very little weight. See Nye (U.S. 2003/0028548), page 4, ¶ 48.

Claims 11, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drosset in view of Nye (U.S. 2003/0028548).

Art Unit: 2143

11. Regarding claim 11, Drosset disclosed the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1. Drosset did not explicitly state wherein the subscriber is a potential new subscriber and wherein the subscription period is a trial subscription period.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Nye disclosed, in a subscription environment, various states of customer subscriptions, including free trial subscriptions (Nye, ¶ 46).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include Nye's teaching of various states of customers' subscriptions with Drosset to not only provide specific promotions and offers (Nye, ¶ 44), but also to efficiently be able to determine the customer's state transitions from one state to another (Nye, ¶ 45).

Regarding claims 13-15, Drosset and Nye disclosed the limitations substantially as claimed as described in claims 1 and 11, and are therefore rejected under the same rationale.

12. Regarding claim 12, Drosset and Nye disclosed the limitations substantially as claimed, as described in claim 11, including wherein the level of service is determined by a service parameter selected from download rate, portion of subscription content

Application/Control Number: 09/894,108

Art Unit: 2143

accessible, access to member-only features, color formatting, sound and combinations thereof (Drosset, col. 15, lines 47-65).

Claims 21, 29, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drosset in view of Davis et al. (U.S. Pub. 2002/0040395).

13. Regarding claims 21, 29, and 46 Drosset disclosed a system with limitations that are substantially similar to those of claim 1, further including managing a subscriber's account through the use of a subscription service, subscription database, and a non-renewal database (Drosset, col. 2, lines 40-45).

Drosset did not explicitly disclose that the database contains post expiration access frequency.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Davis teaches a system for online subscription service (Davis, ¶ 32), which includes a database for recording client's activities, including frequency of accessing data from online provider (Davis, ¶ 9). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include Davis 's idea of tracking user's frequency of accessing online provider and commercialize targeting the particular user with Drosset for determining users' behavior after subscription period has expired. Because in doing so, the system of Drosset would be able to accurately predict whether the particular subscribers would be interested in or could be induced to renew subscription.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,108

Art Unit: 2143

AIT UIII. 2143

14. Regarding claim 22, Drosset and Davis disclosed the limitations substantially as claimed, as described in claim 21, including wherein the level of service is determined by a service parameter selected from download rate, portion of subscription content accessible, access to member-only features, color formatting, sound and combinations thereof (Drosset, col. 15, lines 47-65).

Claims 16-20, 23, 24-28, 30-32, 34-45, and 47 include limitations substantially similar to the limitations of claims 1-15,21,22, 29, 33, 46, and 48, and are therefore rejected under the same rationale as being substantially similar.

## Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure

Application/Control Number: 09/894,108 Page 11

Art Unit: 2143

relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571) 272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. B. D.

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2143

DAYIO WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100